Case 1:19-cv-10256-GHW Document 360 Filed 11/29/23 Page 1 of 3

PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP

1285 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10019-6064

TELEPHONE (212) 373-3000

LLOYD K. GARRISON (1946-1991) RANDOLPH E. PAUL (1946-1986) SIMON H. RIFKIND (1950-1995) LOUIS S. WEISS (1927-1950) JOHN F. WHARTON (1927-1977)

WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL NUMBER

(212) 373-3165

WRITER'S DIRECT FACSIMILE

(212) 492-0165

WRITER'S DIRECT E-MAIL ADDRESS

bbirenboim@paulweiss.com

UNIT 5201, FORTUNE FINANCIAL CENTER
5 DONGSANHUAN ZHONGLU
CHAOYANG DISTRICT, BEIJING 100020. CHINA
TELEPHONE 186-10) 5828-6300

SUITES 3601 - 3606 & 3610 36/F, GLOUCESTER TOWER THE LANDMARK 15 QUEEN'S ROAD, CENTRAL HONG KONG TELEPHONE (852) 2846-0300

ALDER CASTLE
10 NOBLE STREET
LONDON EC2V 7JU, UNITED KINGDOM
TELEPHONE (44 20, 7367 1600

535 MISSION STREET, 24TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 TELEPHONE 1628) 432-5100

FUKOKU SEIMEI BUILDING 2-2 UCHISAIWAICHO 2-CHOME CHIYODA-KU, TOKYO 100-0011. JAPAN TELEPHONE (81-3) 3597-8101

TORONTO-DOMINION CENTRE
77 KING STREET WEST, SUITE 3100
PO BOX 226
TORONTO, ONTARIO MSK 1J3
TELEPHONE (416) 504-0520

2001 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1047 TELEPHONE (202) 223-7300

500 DELAWARE AVENUE, SUITE 200 POST OFFICE BOX 32 WILMINGTON, DE 19899-0032 TELEPHONE (302) 655-4410

November 29, 2023

By ECF

The Honorable Gregory H. Woods United States District Court Southern District of New York Daniel Patrick Moynihan Courthouse 500 Pearl Street, Room 2260 New York, NY 10007 JONATHAN H. ASHTOR
ROBERT A. ATKINS
KANESH BALASUBRAMANIAM*
SCOTT A. BALASUBRAMANIAM*
SCOTT A. BARSHAY
DIVINI B. BAYARD
JOSEPH J. BIAL
BRUCE BIRENBOIM
H. CHRISTOPHER BOEHNING
BRIAN BOLIN
ANGELO BONVINO
ANGELO BONVINO
APAULE BRACHMAN
ROBERT A. BRITTON
BRAD BROWN
WALTER F. BROWN,
SUSANNA M. BUERGEL
JESSIC, S. CAREY
JOAVID CARMONA
GEOFFREY R. CHEPIGA
ELLEN N. CHING
WILLEN N. CHING
WILLEN A. CLAREMAN
LEWIS R. CLAYTON
YAHONNES CLEAR
THOMAS V. DE LA BASTIDE III
MEREDITA A CONNISH
CHRISTOPHER J. CUMMINGS
THOMAS V. DE LA BASTIDE III
MEREDITA DAGNEW
HOMAS V. DE LA BASTIDE III
MEREDITA CONNISH
CHRISTOPHER J. CUMMINGS
THOMAS V. DE LA BASTIDE III
MEREDITA DAGNEW
HOMAS V. DE LA BRASTIDE III
MEREDITA B. CONTROL
ANDREW J. EHRLICH
CAROLINE B. EPSTEIN
GREGORY A. EZRING
ROSS A. FIELDSTON
ANDREW J. EHRLICH
CAROLINE B. EPSTEIN
GREGORY A. EZRING
ROSS A. FIELDSTON
ANDREW J. EHRLICH
CAROLINE B. FORRESTE
HARRIS B. FREDUS
NOBERTO FINALS
ROBERTO FINALS
ROBERTO FINALS
ROBERTO FINALS
ROBERTO FINALS
ROBERTO J. GONZALEZ*
CHARLES H. GOOGE JR.
ANDREW G. GORDON
BRIAN S. GRIEVE
UDI GROFMAN
MOBERTO J. GONZALEZ*
CHARLES H. GOOGE JR.
ANDREW G. GORDON
BRIAN S. GRIEVE
UDI GROFMAN
ROBERTO J. HONGRON
ROBERTO FOR HOP FINAL
ROBERTO FOR HARRIS D. REPROL
JOSHUA HALLERIN
JOSHUA HALLERIN MATTHEW B. JORDAN CHRISTODOULOS KAOUTZANIS BRAD S. KARP PATRICK N. KARSNITZ PATRICK N. KARSNITZ JOHN C. KENNEDY ROBERT A. KILLIP BRIAN KIM KYLE J. KILLIP

'NOT ADMITTED TO THE NEW YORK BAR

BRIAN KRAUSE
CAITH KUSHNER
DAVID K. LAKHDHIR
GREGORY F. LAUFER
BRIAN C. LAVIN
MATTHEW N. LEISTXIAOYU GREEV
LOPETTA E. LYNCH
LOPETTA E. LYNCH
JEFFREY D. MARELL
MARCO V. MASOTTI
DAVID W. MAYO
ELIZABETH R. MCCOLM
JEAN M. MCCOLM
JEAN M. MCCOLM
JEAN M. MCCOLM
CLAUDINE MEREDITH-GOUJON
MATTHEW MERKLE
WILLIAM B. MICHAEL
ERIN J. MORGAN
JUDIE, MO SHORTELL JUDIE NG SHORTELL*
CATHERINE NYARADY
JANE B. O'BRIEN
CATHERINE NYARADY
JANE B. O'BRIEN
CANDON ON OR
SUNG PAKUN
ANDREM M. PARLEN
ANDREM M. PARLEN
JESSICA E. PHILLIPSON*
JESSICA E. PHILLIPSON*
JESSICA E. PHILLIPSON*
JESSICA E. PHILLIPSON*
JESSICA E. PHILLIPSON
JESTICA E. PHILLIPS
JESTI ANDREA WAHLOUIST BROW JOHN WEBER THEODORE V. WELLS, JR. ERIC J. WEDEL SAMUEL J. WEDEL SAMUEL J. WERSMA STEVEN J. WILLIAMS LAWRENCE I. WITDORCHIC MARK B WILAZLO MARK B WILAZLO WESTER STORY WAYER N. YOSHINO TONG YU BOSCO YIU* KAYE N. YOSHINO TONG YU TAURIE M. ZEITZER KENNETH S. ZIMAN T. ROBERT ZOCHOWSKI, JR.

Cardwell v. Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP, et al., 19-cv-10256-GHW (S.D.N.Y.)

Dear Judge Woods:

As discussed at the November 27, 2023 status conference, Defendants seek to admit Plaintiff's performance reviews under the business records exception to the rule against hearsay. FRE 803(6). In that connection, Defendants are hereby providing Plaintiff and the Court with a certification under Rule 902(11) from Lindsay H. Tomenson ("Tomenson Declaration"), the Chief Professional Development Officer at Davis Polk. Rule 803(6)(D) provides that a party may demonstrate that records satisfy the conditions of the business records exception by a certification that complies with Rule 902(11). Rule 902(11) provides that such certification is required before trial. The

The Honorable Gregory H. Woods

2

admission of these documents as business records will greatly promote the efficiency of the trial.¹

Consistent with numerous other courts in the Second Circuit, this Court has recognized that performance reviews are admissible as business records under Rule 803(6). Ramsaran v. Booz & Co. (N.A.) Inc., 2015 WL 5008744, at *7-9 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 24, 2015) (Woods, J.) (collecting cases).

The performance reviews held to satisfy Rule 803(6) in Ramsaran are similar to the reviews at issue here. The Ramsaran reviews were created by the plaintiff's manager after interviewing the plaintiff's colleagues regarding her performance during the preceding months. The Court held these performance reviews, created by collecting information from others at various intervals, were "made at or near the time by—or from information transmitted by—someone with knowledge." Id. at *8 (quoting FRE 803(6)(A)). The Court also determined that the reviews were made in the course of the employer's "regular process of evaluating its employees' job performance" and that the company had a "regular practice" of conducting such reviews. Id. at *9 (quoting FRE 803(6)(B) and (C)). As the Tomenson Declaration shows, the performance reviews at issue here similarly satisfy the elements of Rule 803(6).

Further, in Ramsaran, the Court found that the plaintiff failed to substantiate her allegation that the negative performance reviews were motivated by discrimination, noting that there was "no evidence from which the Court could conclude that either the source of the information in these records or the method or circumstances of their preparation indicate a lack of trustworthiness under Rule 803(6)(E)." Id. at *9. So, too, here. There is no evidence here that the source of the information or the method or circumstance of the preparation of Plaintiff's reviews indicate a lack of trustworthiness. Plaintiff only offers speculation and innuendo.

To the extent any of Plaintiff's performance reviews contain "multiple levels of hearsay" as Plaintiff has asserted, that is not a reason to exclude them. Rule 803(6) is applicable to such reviews, even if Plaintiff's assertion is accurate. In addition, Defendants seek to introduce these records for the truth of the reviewing attorney's views and understanding of Plaintiff's performance; they are not being offered for the truth of any purported embedded hearsay. There is no factual basis to believe that the records do not accurately reflect the reviewing attorneys' assessments and understanding of Plaintiff's work, regardless of whether Plaintiff agrees with those assessments.

Finally, the performance reviews are admissible as non-hearsay in any event—evidence of what the decisionmakers at Davis Polk relied upon in deciding whether and when to terminate Plaintiff. As noted in *Ramsaran*, even if the defendant's "assessment

¹ We are also providing Plaintiff with the underlying performance reviews certified by the Tomensen Declaration. Defendants have previously provided these exhibits to Plaintiff during the pretrial exchange of exhibits.

The Honorable Gregory H. Woods

3

of plaintiff's job performance was inaccurate, 'what is significant is that they based their decision to dismiss plaintiff on that belief,' rather than her gender or pregnancy." Id. at *10 (quoting Agugliaro v. Brooks Bros., 927 F. Supp. 741, 747 (S.D.N.Y. 1996)). As the Court went on to say, "The Court declines to sit as a super-personnel department and evaluate whether plaintiff's performance was really deficient. It is well documented that defendants believed that it was." Id.

Respectfully Submitted,

Bruce Birenboim

cc: All counsel of record via ECF